XAFS Analysis of Triiodide Ion in Solutions

Hideto Sakane^{a*}, Takayuki Mitsui^b, Hajime Tanida^c, and Iwao Watanabe^d

^aCenter for Instrumental Analysis, Yamanashi University, Kofu, Yamanashi, Japan ^bFaculty of Engineering, Yamanashi University, Kofu, Yamanashi, Japan ^cJapan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute, Mikazuki, Hyogo, Japan ^dGraduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan

Abstract

Iodine K-edge XAFS of triiodide ions in various solvents were measured at SPring-8 BL01B1 and analyzed. Though the anion takes a linear and symmetric form, the second peak expected from enhanced multiple scatterings can be hardly observed because of its large vibrations. The bond distances and the Debye-Waller factors for the I–I couple vary when protic solvents were used and they were similar when aprotic solvents were used. It was indicated that the larger the Mayers' acceptor number A_N of the solvent, the larger the Debye-Waller factor. Also found was that among the aprotic solvents, the larger the Gutmann's donor number D_N , the smaller the Debye-Waller factor.

Experimental

Samples

Solute: Nal and I₂ (NaI₃ solution), *tetra-*n*-propylammonium triiodide (TPAI₃ solution) Solvent: acetone (AC)*, N, N-dimethylacetamide (DMA)*, nitromethane (NM), N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF), tetrahydrothiophen-1, 1-dioxide (TMS), methanol (MeOH)*, acetonitrile (AN)*, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)*, ethanol (EtOH), water (aq) Concentration: 0.01 mol/L I₃ (aqueous solution: 0.04 mol/L NaI and 0.01 mol/L I_2) XAS measurements Conditions: transmission mode at room temperature Monochromator: silicon double crystal monochromator in Si(311) diffraction mode. Rh-coted guartz mirror Path length: 5 - 20 cm Analysis Software: Xanadu (Sakane et al., 1993) Parameters: Calculated with FEFF 6.01a for TPAI₃ crystal structure model. Fourier transforms: *k*-range of $3.6 - 15.4 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. Hamming window function. Phase shifts and backscattering amplitude were corrected. Curve fitting: k-range of $3.75 - 13.95 \text{ Å}^{-1}$











I–I distance *r* and the Debye-Waller factor σ vs. the accetor number $A_{\rm N}$

σ 10 20 30 $D_{ m N}$ $D_{ m N}$

 $D_{\rm N}$ (•) σ vs. $D_{\rm N}$ and the Kamlet-Taft's basicity parameter β

of the aprotic solvents

Conclusion

The second peak, including enhanced multiple scatterings, of polyiodine species is found for the first time. It results from the highest quality of the spectra obtained from SPring-8 BL01B1 and the proper analysis treatments.

Protic solvent, water and alcohols, solutions behave correlation of decrease of the I–I distance rand increase of the Debye-Waller factor σ with increasing the acceptor number A_N . The group of the aprotic solvents also lies on the correlation. σ for the aprotic solvent solutions is declining lines with the donor number D_N and the Kamlet-Taft basicity parameter β . These relations can be unified as the more the electron of I_3^- is withdrawn by solvent molecules and the lower the electron density on the anion, the larger its σ becomes and then the weaker the bond in the anion is. According to simple molecular orbital interpretations, HOMO of I_3^- has antibonding character. But, from this study HOMO should be bonding. A problem of this unified interpretation is the limited application scope of the each solvent parameters. The classification that a solvent is either protic or aprotic has been found for Br and I solvation studies by XAFS and may reflect a feature of anion-solvent interactions. r becomes smaller when its σ becomes larger. This phenomenon cannot be understood by common knowledge. For the aqueous solution, σ is so large that the thermal vibration of I₃ would not be approximated with the Debye-Waller factor alone. Higher order cumulants should be considered in the aqueous solution. Other solutions conclude that the solvent effects affect the I–I bond strength in I₃ in a complex manner.